

Re: Expert Testimony on (i) Community Establishment; (ii) Nexus; and (iii) Support for DotMusic’s Community-Based Application¹ for .MUSIC (Application ID 1-1115-14110)

Dear ICANN and Economist Intelligence Unit (“EIU”):

Please accept this letter that indicates that there is substantive and compelling evidence that the DotMusic application convincingly meets the full criteria under Community Priority Evaluation on the following points: (i) the Music Community’s *Establishment* as defined by DotMusic; (2) the matching *Nexus* between the “music” Community and the “music” string (or top-level domain); and (3) that DotMusic possesses documented *Support* from organizations representing a majority of the global Music Community addressed and defined.

Please see my credentials attached hereto that identify my level of expertise and specialized knowledge with respect to the music community’s organization and delineation.

SUMMARY

DotMusic has established the following:

- 1) Its Community definition recognizes the cohesive, symbiotic and overlapping nature of the global Music Community. The definition includes those associated with commercial and non-commercial creation, performance, marketing and distribution of music;
- 2) “Music Community” members have the requisite awareness and recognition of the interdependency, overlapping and cohesive nature of each “organized community of similar nature that relates to music.” These organized and aligned communities are closely united and make “music” as we know it today. It is this self-awareness and interdependence that gives the “Music Community” its strength. With exponential growth of the Internet, mobile and the Domain Name System (DNS), the “Music Community’s” use and reliance on the Internet to create, market and disseminate music-related content, products, services and activities will continue to grow;
- 3) The “Music Community” functions in a regulated sector with global copyright protections – it is clear that the “community,” as defined, implies “more of cohesion than a mere commonality of interest” with an “awareness and recognition of a community among its members.” Several international treaties mandate a globally-recognized set of standards for

¹ <https://gtldresult.icann.org/application-result/applicationstatus/applicationdetails/1392>

the protection of the “Music Community” member rights with relation to their copyrighted music works around the world;

4) The “Music” Community -- as defined by DotMusic -- has at least one entity dedicated to the community supporting DotMusic’s application. Such documented *Support* includes several “international federation of national communities of a similar nature,” music coalitions and others that are strongly associated with “music,” which represent a majority of the Community with considerable millions of members worldwide.²

5) The *Nexus* of the “music” Community matches the “music” applied-for string because it represents the entire global Music Community – a community that pre-existed 2007 with a size in the considerable millions of constituents. The “Music Community” definition -- which incorporates the strict fundamental attributes of a closely united Community definition that is “organized” and “delineated” -- ensures that all of its constituent members have a requisite awareness of the community as defined, including both commercial and non-commercial stakeholders, to register a .MUSIC domain without any conflicts of interests, over-reaching or discrimination.

6) DotMusic has received support from the largest coalition of Music Community member organizations ever assembled to support a cause. Such unparalleled global Music Community support represents an overwhelming majority of the global Music Community as defined. Cumulatively, DotMusic possesses documented support³ from institutions/organizations representing a majority of the Community as defined and recognized in the DotMusic application.

There is substantive evidence that DotMusic fulfills the *Nexus, Community Establishment and Support* criteria for the “Music” string. The inclusion and representation of every music constituent type is paramount to the articulated purpose of the string. DotMusic and its application’s global Music Community supporters substantiate that every type of music constituent contributes to the function and operation of the music sector within a regulated framework. The symbiotic nature of the Community as defined and structured means that “Music” would not function as it does today without the participation of all music constituent types that interconnect to match the “music” string with the “music” Community definition.

² <http://music.us/supporters>

³ <http://music.us/supporters>

ASSESSMENT OF COMMUNITY DEFINITION, ESTABLISHMENT AND NEXUS

A) Music Community Definition, Establishment & Community Endorsement⁴

DotMusic's definition of the "Music Community" as a "strictly delineated and organized community of individuals, organizations and business, a logical alliance of communities of similar nature that relate to music" (See Application, 20a) is factually accurate and representative of the "Music Community." Community characteristics include:

i) An Organized, Cohesive, Interdependent Logically-Allied Community:

The "Music Community" definition covers the regulated, interdependent and cohesive nature of the music sector that exists today. "Music Community" members have the requisite awareness and recognition of the interdependent, overlapping and cohesive nature of each "organized community of similar nature that relates to music" that comprises the "Music Community." Without such cohesiveness and interdependency, the defined "Music" Community matching the applied-for string ("Music") would not be able to function in its regulated sector, a "Music" regulated sector that was publicly recognized by both ICANN and the Government Advisory Committee.⁵

As a result, the Music Community as defined is "closely united" (As per the definition of "cohesion" according to Merriam-Webster dictionary⁶) or "united or form a whole" (As per the definition of the word "cohesion" according to Oxford Dictionaries⁷).

The "Music Community" as defined (a "strictly delineated and organized community of individuals, organizations and business, a logical alliance of communities of similar nature that relate to music") establishes that:

- (1) There is an awareness and recognition among its members;
- (2) The organized and delineated logical alliance of communities exists; and
- (3) The Community is "closely united" and "interdependent" (i.e. Each "organized community of similar nature that relates to music" which is part of the "logical alliance of communities that relate to music" is not mutually exclusive).

In short, the applied-for string ("Music") matches the name of the "Music" Community as defined by DotMusic's application. DotMusic's "Music Community" definition accurately represents the common definition of the "Music Community," which is confirmed by Wikipedia.

⁴ See <http://music.us/establishment>

⁵ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/bm/briefing-materials-2-05feb14-en.pdf>, Pg.3

⁶ <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/cohesion>

⁷ http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/us/definition/american_english/cohesion

According to Wikipedia:⁸

Music community is defined as a logical alliance of interdependent communities that are related to music, which include commercial participants...and non-commercial participants...and consists of an “ensemble of practices and institutions that make possible and regulate the production, distribution and consumption of music”...UNESCO identifies the music community as a “community of identity” implying common identifiable characteristics and cohesive attributes such as sharing a music culture, norms and subscribing to common ideals related to music...The music community is not defined as much by demographic indicators such as race, gender, and income level, as it is by common values, cohesive norms and interconnected structures to build a community identity. It refers to music-related individuals and organisations in a shared environment with shared understandings and practices, modes of production and distribution. The shared organisation of collective musical activities, identity and community value is created as result of infrastructure and a shared set of common values...Many studies outline the historical, cultural, and spatial significance of the music community, including how its identity is formed through musical practices. The music community shares a cohesive and interconnected structure of artistic expression, with diverse subcultures and socio-economic interactions...subscribing to common ideals. Under such structured context music consumption becomes possible regardless whether the transaction is commercial and non-commercial.⁹

ii) *An Aware, Pre-Existing and Recognized Community of Considerable Millions Worldwide:*

DotMusic’s definition of the Community covers all Community members associated with the string, each with a requisite awareness of the Community that can be validated through their natural association with a particular music-related community that they clearly identify with. According to DotMusic, all Music Community members must identify their music-related community in order to demonstrate their requisite awareness of the defined Community as part of the .MUSIC registration and validation process.

⁸ Wikipedia is ranked 6th among the ten most popular websites (Alexa, Retrieved March 23, 2015 from <http://www.alexacom/siteinfo/wikipedia.org>) and constitutes the Internet's largest, most frequently updated and popular general reference work (See OECD, OECD Internet Economy Outlook 2012, OECD Publishing, http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/oecd-internet-economy-outlook-2012_9789264086463-en,Pg.172) that compares favorably to the accuracy of other encyclopedias (such as the Britannica) according to a 2012 study conducted in partnership with Oxford University (See <http://blog.wikimedia.org/2012/08/02/seven-years-after-nature-pilot-study-compares-wikipedia-favorably-to-other-encyclopedias-in-three-languages>).

⁹ Music Community. In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved March 23, 2015, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Music_community

According to DotMusic, the Music Community’s geographic breadth is inclusive of all recognized territories covering regions associated with ISO-3166 codes and 193 United Nations countries with a Community of considerable size with millions of constituents (Application Answer to Question 20a).

According to DotMusic, “registrants will be verified using Community-organized, unified “criteria taken from holistic perspective with due regard of Community particularities” that “invoke a formal membership (Application Answer to Question 20a).” The defined Community represents all music-related entities with a clear and straightforward membership with the Community involved in the legal production, performance, promotion, and distribution of music worldwide. According to DotMusic, the Music Community members must have an active, non-tangential relationship with the applied-for string “music” and also have the requisite awareness of the music-related community that they are a part of by specifically identifying it as part of the registration and validation process (i.e. upon successful registration and validation, each community member will be given a unique community identification number that will automatically associate them with their identified community and the “music” string).

DotMusic’s Community definition matches the applied-for string because it allows both commercial and non-commercial stakeholders to register a .MUSIC domain without any conflicts of interests, over-reaching or discrimination/exclusion. Given the regulated sector of the community, it is clear that the “Music Community” as defined implies “more of cohesion than a mere commonality of interest” with an “awareness and recognition of a community among its members.” Several international treaties mandate cohesive and globally-recognized set of standards for the protection of the music community members’ rights with relation to their copyrighted music works around the world.¹⁰

The Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works¹¹ provides that each of the 168 contracting parties¹² (representing an overwhelming majority of the world’s population) provides automatic protection for music works first published in other countries of the Berne union and for unpublished music works whose authors are citizens of or resident in such other countries.¹³ This means that if a Music Community member’s copyright rights are violated in any other signatory country’s jurisdiction, then the music community member will have the music copyright rights given by that country. Music Community members are clearly aware of the collective Community’s rights, which could not be made possible without these cohesive and globally-recognized set of standards. If such standards were not coherent or enforced then music would not be able to exist in its current form and the industry component of the Music Community sector would not exist. As such, the Community’s *Establishment* and definition is “cohesive” and hence cannot be construed since the Community is a logical alliance of music

¹⁰ http://www.rightsdirect.com/content/rd/en/toolbar/copyright_education/International_Copyright_Basics.html

¹¹ http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/text.jsp?file_id=283698

¹² http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ShowResults.jsp?lang=en&treaty_id=15

¹³ <http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/62482/Berne-Convention>

communities that establish a clearly delineated and organized Community structure that is “closely united” and functions as a “whole”

Further evidence to substantiate the cohesive, symbiotic and overlapping nature of the Community, includes other globally-recognized standards and classification systems, which identify who the individual songwriters, publishers and rights holders are and which songs they are associated with so that Community members are appropriately compensated, regardless of whether the constituent is a commercial, non-commercial or amateur entity. The “music” string is commonly used in classification systems such as ISMN,¹⁴ ISRC,¹⁵ ISWC,¹⁶ ISNI.¹⁷ (Application Answer to Question 20a). For example, if a music entity would like to distribute their music, either commercially or for free, then an ISRC can be assigned to globally identify any specific music work. An ISRC, which facilitates efficient music discovery and community member payment, is constructed from 12 characters representing country, registrant, year of registration and designation (i.e. the serial number assigned by the registrant). With respect to domains, an equivalent system that relates to identifying a specific domain’s registrant and other relevant information pertaining to the domain is WHOIS. Domain registrants are required by ICANN “to provide accurate WHOIS contact data” or else their domain “registration may be suspended or even cancelled”.¹⁸

Without such Music Community “cohesion” and standardized systems functioning in its regulated sector, the Music Community would not be able to create, market and distribute their music. By the same token, fans would not be able to identify the music they are listening to with a specific music artist, regardless of whether the listening activity or behavior is commercial or non-commercial in nature. The socio-economic structure that characterizes “music” as commonly-known today would be non-existent without these organized and delineated elements that commonly define the Community.

¹⁴ The International Standard Music Number (ISMN) is a unique number for the identification of all notated music publications from all over the world. The ISMN is an ISO certified global standard number (ISO 10957:2009). See <http://www.ismn-international.org/whatis.html> and

http://www.iso.org/iso/home/store/catalogue_ics/catalogue_detail_ics.htm?csnumber=43173

¹⁵ The ISRC (International Standard Recording Code) is the international identification system for sound recordings and music video recordings. The ISRC is an ISO certified global standard number (ISO 3901:2001) and is managed by the IFPI. See <http://isrc.ifpi.org>, <https://www.usisrc.org/about/index.html> and http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=23401

¹⁶ The ISWC (International Standard Musical Work Code) is a unique, permanent and internationally recognized reference number for the identification of musical works. The ISWC has been approved by ISO (International Organization for Standardisation) as a global standard (ISO 15707:2001) and is managed by CISAC. See <http://www.iswc.org/en/faq.html> and http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=28780

¹⁷ The International Standard Name Identifier (ISNI) is the ISO certified global standard number (ISO 27729) for identifying the millions of contributors to creative works and those active in their distribution. ISNI holds public records of over 8 million identities and 490,000 organizations. See <http://www.isni.org/> and http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=44292

¹⁸ <https://whois.icann.org/en/about-whois> and <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/faqs-f0-2012-02-25-en>

iii) International Federations and Organizations Dedicated to Community Functions:

According to ICANN’s Applicant Guidebook (“AGB”)¹⁹: *“With respect to “Delineation” and “Extension,” it should be noted that a community can consist of...a logical alliance of communities (for example, an international federation of national communities of a similar nature... viable as such, provided the requisite awareness and recognition of the community is at hand among the members.”* (AGB, 4-12). The community as defined in the DotMusic application has at least one entity mainly dedicated to the community which has supported DotMusic, which include several “international federation of national communities of a similar nature” relating to music, music coalitions and other relevant and non-negligible music organizations.

One of these entities include the only international federation of national communities relating to government culture agencies and arts councils, which has an integral association with music globally: the International Federation of Arts Councils and Culture Agencies (IFACCA).

IFACCA is the only international federation that represents government culture agencies and arts councils globally. These national communities are governmental institutions that play a pivotal role with respect to music.²⁰ IFACCA’s members cover the majority of music entities globally, regardless of whether they are commercial, non-commercial or amateurs. Government ministry of culture and council agencies related to music cover a majority of the overall community with respect to headcount and geographic reach. The “Size” covered reaches over a hundred million music entities i.e. “considerable size with millions of constituents” per Application Answer to Question 20a.

The string “music” falls under the jurisdiction of each country’s Ministry of Culture governmental agency or arts/music council (emphasis added). The degree of power and influence of government ministry of culture and council agencies with respect to music surpasses any organization type since these agencies (i) provide the majority of funding for music-related activities; (ii) regulate copyright law; and (iii) encompass all the music entities that fall under their country, regardless whether these entities are commercial, non-commercial or amateurs. IFACCA is globally recognized by its strategic partners, such as UNESCO, a United Nations agency representing 195 member states and the European Commission.²¹ The UNESCO strategic partnership²² is relevant, especially since UNESCO founded the International Music Council (the “IMC”) in 1949, which represents over 200 million music constituents from over 150 countries and over 1000 organizations globally.²³

¹⁹ <https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb/guidebook-full-11jan12-en.pdf>

²⁰ http://www.ifacca.org/membership/current_members/

²¹ http://www.ifacca.org/strategic_partners/

²² http://www.ifacca.org/strategic_partners/

²³ <http://www.imc-cim.org/about-imc-separator/who-we-are.html>

Government activities in the clearly delineated and organized “Music Community” include setting statutory royalty rates. For example, in the United States, mechanical royalties are based on a “statutory rate” set by the U.S. Congress. This rate is increased to follow changes in the economy, usually based on the Consumer Price Index. Currently, the mechanical statutory rate is \$0.091 for songs five minutes or less in length or \$.0175 per minute for songs that are over five minutes long.²⁴

Ministries of culture and arts councils (that comprise IFACCA’s membership) support musicians, musical performances, independent music artists, non-commercial musical expression and education in their respective countries. The 165 ministries of culture, arts councils and affiliates that comprise IFACCA’s membership support the “performing arts” and music specifically. Without the financial and logistical support of arts councils and the ministries of culture, the music community would be adversely affected, and in some countries, may not exist in any appreciable manner. For example, the Ministry of Culture 2011 budget for the small country state of Cyprus for culture funding was €34,876,522 with critical support of music activities.²⁵ Other small government Ministries of Culture, such as Albania,²⁶ or government Ministries of Culture and Arts Councils from countries with larger populations, such as India,²⁷ all provide critical support and substantial advocacy for music. Other examples include government institutions collaborating and advocating music through their funded country-based pavilion initiatives at Midem, the world’s largest music conference.²⁸

Government ministries and arts councils provide critical support for the Music Community, including commercial music organizations. By way of example, government ministries’ and arts councils’ substantial connection to and support of “music” is noted in the reports of funding and support for music. Some examples to showcase the degree of power of the IFACCA’s membership towards the string and global and national music are music investment and music funding (Annual reports by governments and councils):

- New Zealand Ministry of Culture has funded significant music projects. Some include the REAL New Zealand Music Tour (\$415,000), the New Zealand String Quartet (\$150,000) and New Zealand Music Commission: (\$1,378,000).²⁹
- The Australian Government/Council For The Arts invested \$51.2 million for the nation’s orchestras; \$21.6 million for opera; \$10.8 million for other music artists and

²⁴ U.S Copyright Office, <http://www.copyright.gov/carp/m200a.html>

²⁵ 2011 Annual Report for Cyprus Ministry of Culture, Section 1.2 “Music”

(http://www.moec.gov.cy/en/annual_reports/annual_report_2011_en.pdf). Activities include Music Performances in Cyprus (1.2.1) and Abroad (1.2.2), Subsidization of Paphos Aphrodite Festival (1.2.3), Music Publications (1.2.4), Subsidization and Purchases of Digital Records (1.2.5), Promotion for Cypriot musical creativity abroad (1.2.6), Cyprus Symphony Orchestra Foundation (1.2.7), Music Information Centre (1.2.8), Developing Music Education (1.2.9), Organising of the 1st Musicological Symposium (1.2.10) and Musical Festivities for the European Volunteerism Year (1.2.11)

²⁶ http://www.culturalpolicies.net/down/albania_012011.pdf

²⁷ 2010-11 Annual Report from India Ministry of Culture, [http://www.indiaculture.nic.in/hindi/pdf/Culture-AnRe-2010-2011\(Eng\).pdf](http://www.indiaculture.nic.in/hindi/pdf/Culture-AnRe-2010-2011(Eng).pdf)

²⁸ <http://my.midem.com/en/contact-us/pavilion-representatives/>

²⁹ 2011 Annual Report from New Zealand Ministry of Culture:

[http://www.mch.govt.nz/files/Annual%20report%202011%202012%20pdf%20version%20\(D-0448383\).PDF](http://www.mch.govt.nz/files/Annual%20report%202011%202012%20pdf%20version%20(D-0448383).PDF)

organizations; \$13.1 million for multi-platform artists and organizations; and \$4 million in miscellaneous funding, including sector building and audience development initiatives and programs.³⁰

- Canada Council for the Arts is Canada's national, arts funding agency investing \$28 million in its Canada Council Musical Instrument Bank (Page 16) and \$28,156,000 in Music Arts Programs (Page 66).³¹ The Government of Canada also renewed its annual investment of \$27.6 million over five years in the Canada Music Fund.³²
- The United Kingdom Department for Culture and Education (DfE) will fund music education at significant levels: £77 million, £65 million and £60 million will be available in the three years from April 2012.³³
- The United States National Endowment of the Arts has awarded more than \$4 billion to support the arts since its inception³⁴ and has a strong focus on music as outlined in its Strategic Plan³⁵ with Congress requested to provide \$154,465,000 for fiscal year 2014.³⁶
- The National Arts Council of South Africa invested 2,536,131 ZAR in Music and 9,995,000 ZAR in Orchestras and has focused strongly on the "Strengthening of live indigenous music and advocating the revival of the live music circuit in South Africa"³⁷
- The Singapore Arts Council will fund \$10.2 million in the arts under its 2013 Grants Framework, including the Ding Yi Music Company and Siong Leng Musical Association.³⁸
- In 2011, the support for artistic activities by the Arts Council of Finland was €32.4 million of which €4,921,850 was awarded to music.³⁹

Each of IFACCA's members has a clear association with, and mandate to support the music arts in their countries. In most countries, their ministry of culture/arts council is the largest funder and marketing supporter of the music arts.

³⁰ 2011 Annual Report for the Australia Council for the Arts, http://www.australiacouncil.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0016/142351/Australia-Council-Annual-Report-201112.pdf, Page 28

³¹ 2011 Annual Report for Canada Council for the Arts, http://www.canadacouncil.ca/NR/rdoonlyres/6F7549BB-F4E5-4B8B-95F4-1FF9FAFB9186/0/CanadaCouncilAnnualReport2012_COMPLETE.pdf

³² <http://www.pch.gc.ca/eng/1294862453819/1294862453821>

³³ Department for Culture, The Importance of Music, A National Plan for Music Education, https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/180973/DFE-00086-2011.pdf, Page 4, 2011

³⁴ 2011 Annual report for the National Endowment of the Arts, <http://www.nea.gov/about/11Annual/2011-NEA-Annual-Report.pdf>, Page 2

³⁵ NEA Strategic Plan 2012-2016, www.arts.gov/about/Budget/NEAStrategicPlan2012-2016.pdf

³⁶ http://www.ifacca.org/national_agency_news/2013/04/10/us-president-requests-154465000-neh-2014/

³⁷ 2010-2011 Annual Report for the National Arts Council South Africa, National Arts Council South Africa, <http://www.nac.org.za/media/publications/AR%2010-11%20NAC.PDF/download>, Page 11. Also Mmino, the South African – Norwegian Education Music Programme, solely funds music projects funding a total of 294 projects. Thirteen projects were allocated funding for a total of R1,680,600 of which R1,381,000 went towards music educational and R299,600 to exchange projects (Page 10)

³⁸ Singapore Arts Council, <http://www.nac.gov.sg/media-centre/news-releases/news-detail?id=c2db15e2-c319-40ec-939c-d58735d0a91c>

³⁹ <http://www.taiteenkeskustoimikunta.fi/documents/10162/31704/TY+tilastotiedote+1+12+.pdf>, Page 1 and Page

Another clear example of an “entity dedicated to the community” with members that cover hundreds of millions of music constituents with formal boundaries is A2IM, the American Association of Independent Music. A2IM has two types of members: U.S independent Label members and Associate members. A2IM membership for Labels and Associates is invoked formally through an application and if accepted would require annual membership dues.⁴⁰

The reach of A2IM Associate⁴¹ membership covers hundreds of millions of entities (i.e. the reach of A2IM’s total membership “geographic breadth is inclusive of all recognized territories covering regions associated with ISO-3166 codes and 193 United Nations countries with a Community of considerable size with millions of constituents – See Application Answer to Question 20a).

Organized and strictly delineated communities related to music that are A2IM members include:

- **Apple iTunes**⁴² – iTunes accounts for 63% of global digital music market⁴³ - a majority – with a registered community of 800 million registered members⁴⁴ available in 119 countries who abide to strict terms of service and boundaries⁴⁵ and have downloaded over 25 billion songs⁴⁶ from iTunes’ catalog of over 43 million songs⁴⁷ covering a global music community, regardless of genre or whether the community entities are amateur, professional, commercial or non-commercial. To add music to iTunes, all music artists must have a formal membership with iTunes via an Apple ID registration, which includes a current credit card on file.⁴⁸
- **Pandora**⁴⁹ – Pandora is the world’s largest streaming music radio with a community of over 250 million registered members.⁵⁰
- **Spotify**⁵¹ – Spotify is the world’s largest music streaming community with over 50 million active registered members in 58 countries and over 30 million songs. The music community uploads 20,000 songs every day.⁵²
- **Vevo**⁵³ – Vevo is the world’s leading all-premium music video community and platform with over 8 billion monthly views globally.⁵⁴

⁴⁰ <http://a2im.org/about-joining/>

⁴¹ <http://a2im.org/groups/tag/associate+members/>

⁴² <http://a2im.org/groups/itunes>

⁴³ <http://appleinsider.com/articles/13/04/16/apples-itunes-rules-digital-music-market-with-63-share>

⁴⁴ <http://www.npr.org/blogs/therecord/2015/01/06/375173595/with-downloads-in-decline-can-itunes-adapt>

⁴⁵ <http://www.apple.com/legal/internet-services/itunes/ww/index.html>

⁴⁶ <http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2013/02/06iTunes-Store-Sets-New-Record-with-25-Billion-Songs-Sold.html>

⁴⁷ <https://www.apple.com/itunes/features/>

⁴⁸ <https://www.apple.com/itunes/working-itunes/sell-content/music-faq.html>

⁴⁹ <http://a2im.org/groups/pandora>

⁵⁰ <http://www.cnet.com/news/like-a-rolling-milestone-pandora-hits-250m-registered-users/> and <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/External.File?item=UGFyZW50SUQ9MTkxNTM1fENoaWxkSUQ9LTF8VHlwZT0z&t=1>, Pg.9

⁵¹ <http://a2im.org/groups/spotify>

⁵² <https://press.spotify.com/us/information/>

⁵³ <http://a2im.org/groups/vevo/>

- **Youtube**⁵⁵ – Youtube is the world’s largest music video streaming community with millions of music creators -- amateur, professional, commercial or non-commercial -- and over 1 billion registered members covering all regions globally. 6 billion hours of video is watched every month on Youtube,⁵⁶ of which 38.4% is music-related.⁵⁷
- **Reverbnation**⁵⁸ – Reverbnation⁵⁹ is one of the world’s largest music community and a leading music distributor with over 3.87 million musicians, venues labels and industry professionals covering every country globally. The Reverbnation community grows by over 50,000 artists, bands, labels and industry professionals monthly.
- **BMG**⁶⁰ – BMG is focused on the management of music publishing and recording rights. BMG has an international presence and represents over 2.5 million music rights globally.⁶¹

A2IM also includes members that are associated with global government agencies which exclusively represent substantial music economies and music members, such as France (BureauExport⁶²), China (China Audio Video Association⁶³) and Germany (Initiative Musik).⁶⁴ A2IM also has Affiliate⁶⁵ associations within the global music community. These include Affiliates such as MusicFirst,⁶⁶ the Copyright Alliance,⁶⁷ the Worldwide Independent Network (WIN)⁶⁸ and Merlin.⁶⁹

A2IM also represents a recognized Music Coalition representing the interests of the Global Independent Music Community.⁷⁰ The A2IM Coalition includes Merlin, a global rights agency for the independent label sector, representing over 20,000 labels from 39 countries, Worldwide Independent Network (representing label creators in over 20 countries), Association of Independent Music (representing largest and most respected labels in the world), and IMPALA (Independent Music Companies Association on behalf of over 4,000 independent music companies and national associations across Europe, representing 99% of music actors in Europe which are micro, small and medium sized enterprises.

⁵⁴ <http://www.vevo.com/c/EN/US/about>

⁵⁵ <http://a2im.org/groups/youtube/>

⁵⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/yt/press/statistics.html>

⁵⁷ http://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/2092499/internet_video_2011_2014_view_share_site_and

⁵⁸ <http://a2im.org/groups/reverb-nation/>

⁵⁹ <http://www.reverbnation.com/about>

⁶⁰ <http://a2im.org/groups/bmg-rights/>

⁶¹ <http://www.bmg.com/category/about-us/history/>

⁶² <http://a2im.org/groups/french-music-export-office>

⁶³ <http://a2im.org/groups/china-audio-video-association-cava>

⁶⁴ <http://a2im.org/groups/initiative-musik-gmbh>

⁶⁵ <http://a2im.org/groups/tag/associate+members/>

⁶⁶ <http://musicfirstcoalition.org/coalition>, The musicFIRST Coalition, with founding members A2IM, RIAA, and Recording Academy represents musicians, artists, managers, music businesses, and performance right advocates.

⁶⁷ <http://www.copyrightalliance.org/members>

⁶⁸ <http://www.winformusic.org>

⁶⁹ <http://www.merlinnetwork.org>

⁷⁰ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/bengloff-to-chehade-et-al-20aug14-en.pdf> and <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/bengloff-to-crocker-et-al-07mar15-en.pdf>

Cumulatively, A2IM's Label and Associate Membership, A2IM's Affiliates and the A2IM's Global Independent Music Community Coalition, covers a majority of the global music community. Its cumulative membership is in the hundreds of millions of entities with formal boundaries belonging to strictly organized and delineated communities related to music as per the Community Definition and Size (See Application answer to Question 20a).

Another global Music Community Coalition led by the RIAA "on behalf of over 15 national and international trade associations" also expressed its support for .MUSIC to be under a "community" application model, including encouraging statements in support of DotMusic's policies that stated that the coalition "was encouraged to see" that DotMusic "included several measures to deter and address copyright infringement within that TLD." The "coalition members represent the people that write, sing, record, manufacture, distribute and/or license over 80% of the world's music"⁷¹ – a majority of global music.⁷²

Another letter⁷³ sent to ICANN (on April 14th, 2015) by Danielle Aguirre from the NMPA and on behalf of a music publisher and songwriter community coalition representing a majority of the global music publishing community, also expressed "support [for] the .MUSIC community applications because respecting and protecting music rights serves the global music community and the public interest."

Collectively, the DotMusic application received support from the largest coalition of music community member organizations ever assembled to support a cause. Such unparalleled global Music Community support represents an overwhelming majority of the global Music Community as defined. Cumulatively, DotMusic possesses documented support⁷⁴ from institutions/organizations representing a majority of the Community addressed. Music -- as commonly-known by the general public and experienced today -- would not be possible without these supporting, non-negligible and relevant organizations that have endorsed DotMusic.

In conclusion, there is substantive and compelling evidence that DotMusic entirely fulfills the criteria for *Community Establishment* and *Community Endorsement* from the majority of the global Music Community as defined.

⁷¹ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/riaa-to-icann-05mar15-en.pdf>, Pg.1

⁷² <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/riaa-to-icann-05mar15-en.pdf>, Pg.3, Appendix A

⁷³ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/aguirre-to-icann-board-eiu-14apr15-en.pdf>

⁷⁴ <http://music.us/supporters>

B) Nexus⁷⁵

According to the Applicant Guidebook (“AGB”), to receive the maximum score for Nexus, the applied-for string -- “music” -- must match the name of the community or be a well-known short-form or abbreviation of the community name.

The *Nexus* of the “Music Community” entirely matches the applied-for “music” string because it represents the entire global Music Community as commonly-known and perceived by the general public. This definition allows for all constituents with a requisite awareness of the Community defined to register a .MUSIC domain without any conflicts of interests, over-reaching or discrimination. The definition of the Community requires that members have an active, non-tangential relationship with the applied-for string and the requisite awareness of the music community they identify with as part of the registration process. It is clear that the general public will directly associate and equate the string with the Community as defined by DotMusic. There is no possibility of overreaching beyond the definition or allowing unrelated non-music entities to be included as part of the Community.

Community members may register a .MUSIC by either:

- 1) Identifying that they belong to a Music Community Member Organization (“MCMO”); or
- 2) Identifying the community they belong to, which is consistent with the definition of the Community: “the strictly delineated and organized logical alliance of communities of similar nature related to music.”

All Community members are aware of and recognize their inclusion in the defined Community by identifying which clearly defined community they belong to and have an active participation in. The *nexus* of the applied-for string ensures inclusion of the entire global community that the string represents while excluding unrelated-entities not associated with the string. This way there is a clear match and alignment between the “music” sting and the Community defined.

While the exact size of the global Music Community as defined is unknown (there is no empirical evidence providing an exact, finite number because amateur entities are also included in the Community’s definition), it is in the considerable millions as explicitly stated in the DotMusic Application. DotMusic’s definition of the Community and mutually-inclusive Registration Policies ensure that eligible members are only music-related and associated with the string. This is because the string identifies all constituents involved in music. Music-only participation optimizes the relevancy of .MUSIC domains to the string and entirely matches the *nexus* between the string and Community defined. According to DotMusic, the Community *definition, eligibility* criteria and *content and use* requirements ensure that peripheral industries

⁷⁵ See <http://music.us/nexus>

and entities not related to music are excluded so that the string and the defined Community matches and aligns in a consistent manner consistent with DotMusic's community-based purpose i.e. only entities with music-related activities are able to register .MUSIC domains.

Membership aligns with the *nexus* of the Community and the string, which is explicitly relevant to music. The string as defined in the application demonstrates uniqueness because it has no other significant meaning beyond identifying the community described in the application. According to DotMusic's application, any tangential or implicit association with the *nexus* of the Community and the string is not regarded as a delineated membership since it would be considered unclear, dispersed or unbound. Such unclear, dispersed or unbound tangential relationships with the defined "music" Community and applied-for "music" string would not constitute a qualifying Community membership and would be ineligible for registration.

The inclusion of every music constituent type is paramount to the purpose of the string. Every type of music constituent critically contributes to the function and operation of the music sector within a regulated framework given the symbiotic overlapping nature of the Community as defined and structured. Music would not function as it does today without the participation of all music constituent types which cumulatively match the string with the Community definition.

In conclusion, there is substantive and compelling evidence that DotMusic entirely fulfills the criteria for *Nexus*.

Respectfully Submitted,



Signature: w.a.dolfsma@rug.nl, Apr 22 '15 ip: 129.125.9.47

Name: Wilfred Dolfsma

Title: Professor of Business Administration

Organization: University of Groningen, Netherlands

About Professor Dr. Wilfred Dolfsma

*Professor of Innovation
School of Economics and Business
University of Groningen*

*Founding Director
SOM research programme Innovation & Organization
University of Groningen*

*Professorial Fellow
United Nations University – MERIT*

*Editor in Chief
Review of Social Economy*

*Associate Editor
Innovation: Management, Policy, Practice*

*PH.D in Economics
Erasmus University Rotterdam*

Biography

Trained as both an economist and philosopher, Wilfred Dolfsma (1970) holds a PhD in the former from [Erasmus University](#). He is professor of innovation at the [University of Groningen School of Economics and Business](#), and is corresponding editor for the [Review of Social Economy](#). Dolfsma's main research focus is the different aspects of cooperation in processes of innovation.

During previous affiliations he has been imbued with Economics of Innovation ([Delft University of Technology](#)), Innovation Management ([RSM Erasmus University](#)), Economic Geography ([Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn](#)), Philosophy of Science and Technology ([Twente University of Technology](#)), Economics of Organisation ([Utrecht School of Economics](#)), and innovation and development at [UNU MERIT](#).

He has authored Institutional Economics and the Formation of Preferences (2004), Knowledge Economies (2008), and Institutions, Communication and Values (forthcoming). The former monograph won him [EAEPE's Gunnar Myrdal Prize](#) in 2006. He co-edited Understanding the Dynamics of the Knowledge Economy (with [Luc Soete](#), 2006), Globalization, Social Capital and Inequality (with [Charlie Dannreuther](#), 2003), Ethics and the Market (with [Deb Figart](#) and [Jane Clary](#), 2006) and Media & Economie: Markten in Beweging en een Overheid die stuurt zonder Kompas. Preadviezen van de Koninklijke Vereniging voor de Staatshuishoudkunde (with [Richard Nahuis](#), 2005). Articles have featured in, a.o., the Journal of Economic Issues, American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Journal of Evolutionary Economics, Journal of Economic and Social Geography, Journal of Business Ethics, The Information Society, International Journal of Innovation Management, and Research Policy.

Teaching in following courses:

- Organisation and Environment
- Cooperation for Innovation (SIM MScBA)
- Capturing Value from Innovation (SIM MScBA)
- Inter-firm Collaboration for Innovation (Research Master, Innovation & Organization profile)
- Strategy and Organisation Practice (Accountancy master, Univ of Curacao)
- Master theses

Job title

Professor of Innovation; director SOM research programme Innovation and Organization

Other positions

Editor-in-Chief, Review of Social Economy

Associate Editor, Innovation: Management, Policy, Practice

Additional activities: member of the executive board, Association for Social Economics.

Field/Discipline

Innovation & Technology Management

Business

Management

Strategic Management

Expertise:

Innovation

Cooperation

Appropriability issues

Profile

Wilfred Dolfsma is based at the School of Economics and Business of the University of Groningen, The Netherlands, in addition to UNU-MERIT. He is also Visiting Scholar at the University of Aberdeen Business School. His main interests are the issues of innovation and industry dynamics, and mainly at micro and meso levels. How is existing knowledge exchanged within and between firms, how does new knowledge emerge, and how does this contribute to innovation?

He is also interested in: Consumption, consumption theory; Law & Economics (IPR); Evolutionary, Institutional & Social Economics; Economic History & Methodology; Media / Entertainment Industries.

He is corresponding editor ('editor-in-chief') of the Review of Social Economy, and recently received the Myrdal prize for best monograph, awarded by EAEPE (2006).

Research

2015

Aalbers, H. L., [Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Leenders, R. T. A. J. (2015). [Vertical and horizontal cross-ties: Benefits of cross-hierarchy and cross-unit ties for innovative project teams.](#) *The Journal of Product Innovation Management*.

2014

Aalbers, R., [Dolfsma, W.](#), & Koppius, O. (2014). [Rich Ties and Innovative Knowledge Transfer within a Firm.](#) *British Journal of Management*, 25(4), 833-848. [10.1111/1467-8551.12040](#)

[Dolfsma, W.](#), & Aalbers, R. (2014). [Innovation despite Reorganization.](#) *Journal of Business Strategy*, 35(3), 18-25.

[Dolfsma, W.](#), & van der Velde, G. (2014). [Industry innovativeness, firm size, and entrepreneurship: Schumpeter Mark III?](#) *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 24(4), 713-736. [10.1007/s00191-014-0352-x](#)

Aalbers, H. L., [Dolfsma, W.](#), & Blinde-Leerentveld, R. (2014). [Firm Reorganization: Social Control or Social Contract?](#) *Journal of Economic Issues*, 48(2), 451-460. [10.2753/JEI0021-3624480219](#)

[McCarthy, K.](#), & [Dolfsma, W.](#) (2014). [Neutral Media? Evidence of Media Bias, and Its Economic Impact.](#) *Review of Social Economy*, 72(1), 42-54. [10.1080/00346764.2013.806110](#)

2013

Aalbers, H. L., [Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Koppius, O. (2013). [Individual connectedness in innovation networks: On the role of individual motivation.](#) *Research Policy*, 42(3), 624-634. [10.1016/j.respol.2012.10.007](#)

Midavaine, J., & [Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2013). [Bestuursdiversiteit en innovatie.](#) *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 98(4662), 366 - 367.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2013). [Government failure - Society, markets and rules.](#) Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

[Dolfsma, W.](#), & [Seo, D.](#) (2013). [Government policy and technological innovation-a suggested typology.](#) *Technovation*, 33(6-7), 173-179. [10.1016/j.technovation.2013.03.011](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Kesting, S. (2013). [Interdisciplinary economics - Kenneth E. Boulding's engagement in the sciences.](#) London & New York: Routledge.

van der Eijk, R., [Dolfsma, W. A.](#), [Noseleit, F.](#), Hendrix, J., & van Renselaar, P. (2013). [Laten winnaars van een innovatieprijs goede bedrijfsvoering zien?](#). *Maandblad voor Accountancy en Bedrijfseconomie*, 9, 250 - 256.

[de Bruin, B. P.](#), & [Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2013). [Oaths and codes in economics and business](#). *Review of Social Economy*, 71(2), 135 - 139.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Leydesdorff, L. (2013). [Patentkaart van Nederland in aantal en kwaliteit](#). *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 98(4652), 42 - 44.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2013). [What does 'more just' mean - ethics and the market?](#). In J. Joseph (Ed.), *Combating poverty in a market driven world*. (pp. 45-46 - 152-153). Mumbai: Creative Handicrafts.

2012

Aalbers, H. L., & [Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2012). [De balancerende innovatiescout](#). *Management Scope, special*, 38 - 41.

[McCarthy, K. J.](#), [Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Fijlstra, J. (2012). [Fusies en overnames in de farmaceutische industrie](#). *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 97(4634), 269 - 270.

Aalbers, H. L., Koppius, O., & [Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2012). [Innovation networks](#). *Communication Director*, 4, 60 - 63.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), Figart, D., McMaster, R., & Starr, M. (2012). [Promoting research on intersections of economics, ethics, and social values](#). *Review of Social Economy*, 70(2), 155 - 163. [10.1080/00346764.2012.664748](https://doi.org/10.1080/00346764.2012.664748)

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & [McCarthy, K. J.](#) (2012). [Understanding mergers and acquisition in the 21st Century](#). Houndsmills: Palgrave MacMillan.

[McCarthy, K. J.](#), & [Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2012). [Understanding mergers and acquisitions in the 21st century: A multidisciplinary approach](#). In W. A. Dolfsma, & K. J. McCarthy (Eds.), *Understanding mergers and acquisitions in the 21st century*. (pp. 1 - 10). Houndsmill: Palgrave MacMillan.

2011

[Dolfsma, W.](#), Finch, J., & McMaster, R. (2011). [Identifying institutional vulnerability: The importance of language, and system boundaries](#). *Journal of Economic Issues*, 45(4), 805-818. [10.2753/JEI0021-3624450403](https://doi.org/10.2753/JEI0021-3624450403)

[Dolfsma, W.](#), & Leydesdorff, L. (2011). [Innovation systems as patent networks: The Netherlands, India and nanotech](#). *Innovation-Management policy & practice*, 13(3), 311-326.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2011). [Government failure: four types](#). *Journal of Economic Issues*, 45(3), 593-604. [10.2753/JEI0021-3624450304](https://doi.org/10.2753/JEI0021-3624450304)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & McMaster, R. \(2011\). *Policy conflicts: Market-oriented reform in health care. Journal of Economic Issues*, 45\(2\), 309-316. \[10.2753/JEI0021-3624450207\]\(#\)](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2011\). *Appropriability, services and reputation. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 23\(8\), 919-930. \[10.1080/09537325.2011.604157\]\(#\)](#)

[McCarthy, K. J., Huizingh, K. R. E., & Dolfsma, W. A. \(2011\). *Crisis reporting, and the effects of media sentiment on innovation. In Proceedings of the XXII ISPIM Conference. Hamburg, Germany.*](#)

[Dolfsma, W., Clary, J., & Figart, D. \(2011\). *Ethics and the Market: Insights from Social Economics*. London & New York: Routledge.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2011\). *Innovation systems at patent networks: The Netherlands, India and nanotech. Innovation: Management, Policy & Practice*, 13\(3\), 311 - 326. \[10.5172/impp.2011.13.3.311\]\(#\)](#)

[Killian, J. A., McCarthy, K. J., Fiolet, M., & Dolfsma, W. A. \(2011\). *Introduction. In W. A. Prof.dr. Dolfsma, K. J. MSc. McCarthy, & M. Fiolet \(Eds.\), The nature of the new firm: Beyond the boundaries of organizations and institutions. \(pp. 1 - 8\). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.*](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & van der Eijk, R. A. \(2011\). *Merton in het laboratorium: Netwerken of gunstenuitruil?. Maandblad voor Accountancy en Bedrijfseconomie*, 85\(4\), 225 - 234.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Duijsters, G. M. \(2011\). *New firms interacting. In W. A. Prof.dr. Dolfsma, K. McCarthy, & M. Fiolet \(Eds.\), The nature of the new firm: Beyond the boundaries of organizations and institutions. \(pp. 79 - 81\). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.*](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2011\). *Patent strategizing. Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12\(2\), 168 - 178.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2011\). *Review. Review of Social Economy*, 69\(2\), 254 - 256.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., McCarthy, K. J., & Fiolet, M. \(2011\). *The nature of the new firm: Beyond the boundaries of organizations and institutions*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.](#)

2010

[Dolfsma, W., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2010\). *The citation field of evolutionary economics. Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 20\(5\), 645-664. \[10.1007/s00191-010-0172-6\]\(#\)](#)

[Dolfsma, W. \(2010\). *The golden crossroads-multidisciplinary findings for business success from the worlds of fine arts, design and culture. Journal of Cultural Economics*, 34\(2\), 151-153. \[10.1007/s10824-010-9116-0\]\(#\)](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2010\). *Government failure: 4 types. In L. Mommers, H. Franken, J. van den Herik, F. van der Klaauw, & G. J. Zwenne \(Eds.\), Liber Amicorum voor Prof.dr. A.H.J. Schmidt. Leiden: Leiden University Press.*](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2010). [Industriebeleid en marktdynamiek](#). *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 95(4595), 637 - 637.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & van der Eijk, R. (2010). [Knowledge development and coördination via market, hierarchy and gift exchange](#). In J. Davis (Ed.), *Global social economy: Development, work and policy*. (pp. 58 - 78). London & New York: Routledge.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), Leydesdorff, L., & van der Panne, G. (2010). [Measuring the knowledge base of an economy in terms of triple-helix relations](#). In R. Viale, & H. Etzowitz (Eds.), *The capitalization of knowledge - A triple helix of University-Industry-Government*. (pp. 291 - 311). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

van der Eijk, R., [Dolfsma, W. A.](#), [McCarthy, K. J.](#), & Boukes, J. (2010). [Regulering en innovatie op de zoetwarenmarkt](#). *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 95(4585), 315 - 316.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), Clary, J., & Jensen, H. (2010). [Sen on public policy: Private incentives, public virtues?](#). *Review of Social Economy*, 68(2), 227 - 236.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Davis, . (2010). [Social economics: An introduction and a view of the field](#). In J. Davis, & W. Dolfsma (Eds.), *Companion to social economics*. (pp. 1 - 7). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Aalbers, R. (2010). [Social networks: Structure & content](#). In J. Davis, & W. Dolfsma (Eds.), *Companion to social economics*. (pp. 390 - 405). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2010). [Social systems evolving](#). *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 20(2), 313 - 319. [10.1007/s00191-009-0150-z](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Davis, J. B. (2010). [The handbook of social economics](#). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

2009

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Welch, P. (2009). [Paradigms and novelty in economics: The history of economic thought as a source of enlightenment](#). *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 68(5), 1085-1106. [10.1111/j.1536-7150.2009.00648.x](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), van der Eijk, R., & Jolink, A. (2009). [On a source of social capital: Gift exchange](#). *Journal of Business Ethics*, 89(3), 315-329. [10.1007/s10551-008-0002-z](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Leydesdorff, L. (2009). [Lock-in and break-out from technological trajectories: Modeling and policy implications](#). *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 76(7), 932-941. [10.1016/j.techfore.2009.02.004](#)

[McCarthy, K. J.](#), & [Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2009). [What's in a name? Understanding the language of the credit crunch](#). *Journal of Economic Issues*, 43(2), 531-548. [10.2753/JEI0021-3624430227](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2009\). *Economie en bedrijfskunde evalueren*. *Economische Statistische Berichten*, 94\(4559\), 280 - 282.](#)

[McCarthy, K. J., Huizingh, K. R. E., & Dolfsma, W. A. \(2009\). *How do firms respond to crisis reports: to innovate or not to innovate*. In K. R. E. Huizingh, S. Conn, M. Torkkeli, & I. Bitran \(Eds.\), *Proceedings of the 2nd ISPIM Innovation Symposium*. New York: ISPIM.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2009\). *Institutions, communication and values*. Houndsmills: Palgrave MacMillan.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., van der Eijk, R., Sojli, E., & Yassine, H. \(2009\). *Investerings van Sovereign Wealth Funds*. *Economische Statistische Berichten*, 94\(4560\), 301 - 302.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & van der Eijk, R. \(2009\). *Knowledge development and coordination via market, hierarchy and gift exchange*. In J. Davis \(Ed.\), *Global social economy: Development, work and policy*. \(pp. 58 - 78\). London & New York: Routledge.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., Duysters, G., & Costa, I. \(2009\). *MNCs from emerging economies*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.](#)

[Dolfsma, W., Duysters, G., & Costa, I. \(2009\). *Multinationals and Emerging Economies: The Quest for Innovation and Sustainability*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & van Driel, H. \(2009\). *Path dependence and metaroutines: The genesis and development of the Toyota production system*. In G. Schreyögg, & J. Sydow \(Eds.\), *The hidden dynamics of path dependency: Institutions and organisations*. Palgrave MacMillan.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & van Driel, H. \(2009\). *Path dependence, initial conditions, and routines in organizations: The Toyota production system re-examined*. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 22\(1\), 49-72. \[10.1108/09534810910933906\]\(https://doi.org/10.1108/09534810910933906\)](#)

[Leydesdorff, L., & Dolfsma, W. A. \(2009\). *Van onderzoeksinput naar -output*. *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 94\(4564\), 426 - 427.](#)

2008

[Dolfsma, W., & van der Panne, G. \(2008\). *Currents and sub-currents in innovation flows: Explaining innovativeness using new-product announcements*. *Research Policy*, 37\(10\), 1706-1716. \[10.1016/j.respol.2008.08.009\]\(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2008.08.009\)](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., Chaminade, C., & Vang, J. \(2008\). *Knowledge dynamics out of balance: Knowledge biased, skewed and unmatched*. *Research Policy*, 37\(10\), 1657-1658. \[10.1016/j.respol.2008.08.007\]\(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2008.08.007\)](#)

[Dolfsma, W., & Verburg, R. \(2008\). *Structure, Agency and the Role of Values in Processes of Institutional Change*. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 42\(4\), 1031-1054.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. \(2008\). History and Significance of the Allied Social Science Associations \(ASSA-II\): A Symposium. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 67\(5\), 969-971. 10.1111/j.1536-7150.2008.00605.x](#)

[Dolfsma, W., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2008\). 'Medium-tech' industries may be of greater importance to a local economy than 'High-tech' firms: New methods for measuring the knowledge base of an economic system. *Medical Hypotheses*, 71\(3\), 330-334. 10.1016/j.mehy.2008.04.021](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Spithoven, A. \(2008\). Silent trade and the supposed continuum between OIE and NIE. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 42\(2\), 517-526.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2008\). 'Medium-tech' may be of greater importance to a local economy than 'high-tech' firms: New methods for measuring the knowledge base of an economic system. *Medical Hypotheses*, 71, 330 - 334.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Appropriability, communication and social welfare in a knowledge economy. *Information & Communications Technology Law Journal*, 17\(2\), 65 - 77.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Book review. *Review of Social Economy*, 66\(4\), 539 - 541.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Book review. *Erdkunde*, 62\(3\), 275 - 277.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Consuming symbolic goods: Identity & commitment. In W. A. Dolfsma \(Ed.\), *Consuming symbolic goods*. \(pp. 1 - 2\). London: Routledge.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Consuming symbolic goods: Identity & commitment, values and economics. Routledge: London & New York.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2008\). Het Nederlandse innovatie systeem. *Economische Statistische Berichten*, 93\(4532\), 214 - 215.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & van der Panne, G. \(2008\). Innovatie, toetreding en bedrijfsgrootte. *Economische Statistische Berichten*, 93\(4537\), 333 - 334.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., Figart, D., McMaster, R., & Starr, M. \(2008\). Introducing speaker's corner. *Review of Social Economy*, 66\(2\), 137 - 138.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2008\). Journals as constituents of scientific discourse: Economic heterodoxy. *On The Horizon*, 16\(4\), 214 - 225.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Knowledge economies: Innovation, location, and organization. London: Routledge.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A. \(2008\). Making knowledge work: Intra-firm Networks, Gifts, and Innovation. *Knowledge Organization*, 35\(4\), 222-228.](#)

[Dolfsma, W. A., van der Panne, G., & Leydesdorff, L. \(2008\). Measuring the knowledge base of an economy in terms of triple-helix relations. In R. Viale, & H. Etzkowitz \(Eds.\), *The*](#)

capitalization of knowledge: A triple helix of university-industry-government. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2008). [Paradoxes of modernist consumption - Reading fashions](#). In W. A. Dolfsma (Ed.), *Consuming symbolic goods: Identity & commitment, values and economics*. (pp. 77 - 90). London: Routledge.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2008). [Prikkels voor economen](#). *Economische Statistische Berichten*, 93(4531), 176 - 176.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#) (2008). [Reactie op: Orgaandonatie gift, geen plicht](#). *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 93(4540), 477.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Davis, . (2008). [Social economics: An introduction and a view of the field](#). In J. Davis, & W. Dolfsma (Eds.), *Companion to social economics*. (pp. 1 - 7). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Aalbers, H. L. (2008). [Social networks: Structure & content](#). In J. Davis, & W. Dolfsma (Eds.), *Companion to social economics*. (pp. 390 - 405). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & van der Panne, G. (2008). [Sub-currents in the innovations river - Explaining innovativeness using new product announcements](#). *Research Policy*, 37(10), 1706 - 1716.

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Davis, . (2008). [The Elgar companion to social economics](#). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

2005

[Dolfsma, W. A.](#), & Nahuis, . (2005). [Jaarboek 2005 van de Koninklijke Vereniging voor de Staathuishoudkunde](#). Utrecht: Boom Lemma.

Publications

Journal articles

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2010, `Regulering en Innovatie op de zoetwarenmarkt, *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 95(4585)

Dolfsma, Wilfred, R. van der Eijk, R, K.J. McCarthy & J. Boukes, 2010, `Industriebeleid en Marktdynamiek, *Economisch Statistische Berichten*, 95(4595): 373

Dolfsma, Wilfred, J. Clary & H. Jensen, 2010, `Sen on Public Policy: Private Incentives, Public Virtues?, *Review of Social Economy*, 68(2): 227 - 236, 2010

Dolfsma, Wilfred & L. Leydesdorff, 2010, `The Citation Field of Evolutionary Economics, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 20(5): 645-664

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2010, 'Social Systems Evolving, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 20(2): 313-9

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, 'Overheidsfalen in een Berekende Economie, *Economisch-Statistische Berichten*, 92(4513), 411-411

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, 'Eigen Schuld of Risico's Delen, *De Helling*, 20(1), 30-31

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, 'De Innovatievoucher nader bekeken, *Economisch-Statistische Berichten*, 92(4515), 476-476

Dolfsma, Wilfred & R. McMaster, 2007, 'Revisiting Institutional Law and Law and Economics - The Inadequacy of the Chicago School: the Case of Personal Bankruptcy Law, *Journal of Economic Issues*, 41(2), 557-566

Dolfsma, Wilfred & R. Nahuis, 2006, 'Media & Economics: Uneasy Bedfellows?, *De Economist – Netherlands Economic Review*, vol. 154, nr. 1, pp. 107-124

Dolfsma, Wilfred, L. Leydesdorff & G. van der Panne, 2006, 'Measuring the Knowledge Base of an Economy in terms of Relations among 'Technology, Organization, and Territory, *Research Policy*, 35: 2, pp. 181-199

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2006, 'Collective Consuming: Consumers as Subcontractors on Electronic Markets, *The Information Society*, 22:3, pp. 177-183

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2006, 'Accounting as Applied Ethics: Teaching a Discipline, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 63:3, pp. 209-15

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2006, 'IPRs, Technological Development and Economic Development, *Journal of Economic Issues*, 40:2, pp. 333-342

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2003, 'On Feminist Economics, *Feminist Review*, No 75, November

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2003, 'Subjects and Boundaries: Contesting social capital-based policies, *Journal of Economic Issues*, 37(2), June

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2003, 'The Odd Role of Proximity in Knowledge Relations – High-tech in the Netherlands, *TESG – Journal of Economic and Social Geography*

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2002, 'Mediated Preferences - How institutions affect consumption, *Journal of Economic Issues*, no. 36(2): 449-457, June

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2002, 'Dynamics of the Dutch Health Care System – A discourse analysis, *Review of Social Economy*, special issue, 60(3), September, pp. 377-401

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2002, 'Technological Regimes: Taking stock, looking ahead, *International Journal of Technology, Policy and Management*, no. 2(4): 482-495

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2001, 'Metaphors of Knowledge in Economics, *Review of Social Economy*, No. 59(1): 71-91

Chapters in books

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2010, Government Failure: 4 types, in: L. Mommers, H. Franken, J. van den Herik, F. van der Klaauw, G.-J. Zwenne (eds), *Het binnenste buiten - Balanceren op het snijvlak van technologie, recht en economie*, RUL, Leiden, Liber amicorum t.g.v. het emiritaat van prof. dr. Aernout H.J. Schmidt

Dolfsma, Wilfred, L. Leydesdorff & L. van der Panne, 2010, Measuring the knowledge base of an economy in terms of triple-helix relations, in: R. Viale & H. Etzowitz (eds), *The Capitalization of Knowledge – A Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp.291-311

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, Concurrentie en Innovatie: Lessen voor beleid, in: H. de Groot & P. Tang (eds.), *Struisvogels en Dwarskoppen - Economen zoeken het publieke debat*, Centraal Planbureau, Den Haag, pp. 147-154

Dolfsma, Wilfred & P. Beije, 2006, Patents & Copyright; ICT, in: P. O'Hara (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Public Policy – Governance in a Global Age*, Routledge, London & New York

Dolfsma, Wilfred & P. Beije, 2006, Patents & Copyright; Services, in: P. O'Hara (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Public Policy – Governance in a Global Age*, Routledge, London & New York

Dolfsma, Wilfred & Luc Soete, 2006, Introduction, in: W.A. Dolfsma & L.L.G. Soete (Eds.), *Understanding the Dynamics of a Knowledge Economy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 1-6

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2006, Knowledge, the Knowledge Economy and Welfare Theory, in: W. Dolfsma & L. Soete (eds.), *Understanding the Dynamics of a Knowledge Economy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 200-21

Clary, B.J., Wilfred Dolfsma & D.M. Figart, 2006, Introduction, in: B.J. Clary, W. Dolfsma and D.M. Figart (eds), *Ethics and the Market – Insights from social economics*, Routledge, London & New York, pp. 1-8

Dolfsma, Wilfred & Luc Soete, 2002, Kennis op markten. Verkenning en betekenis voor overheidsbeleid, in: Dijkstra, H & Schuyt, CJM (Ed.), *De publieke dimensie van kennis*, Sdu Uitgevers, Den Haag, WRR Voorstudies en achtergronden V110. pp. 117-170

Books

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2008, *Knowledge Economies: Innovation, Organization and Location*, Routledge, 978-0-415-41665-8, 176pp

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, *Consuming symbolic Goods: Identity and commitment, values and economics*, Routledge, London, 168

Schmidt, Aernout, Wilfred Dolfsma & Wim Keuvelaar, 2007, *Fighting the War on File Sharing*, Asser Press, More information

Dolfsma, Wilfred & Luc Soete (eds.), 2006, *Understanding the Dynamics of a Knowledge Economy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK

Dolfsma, Wilfred (ed.), 2003, Globalisation, Inequality and Social Capital – Contested Concepts, Contested Experiences, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 1999, Valuing Pop Music, Eburon, Delft

Research reports

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2003, Innovation in Service Firms Explored, EIM, Zoetermeer

Conference presentations

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, Multinationals and Emerging Economies: The Quest for Innovation and Sustainability, Steering committee of 2007 ICAP conference: University of Utag

Other output

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2010, [book review of] Marco Bevolo (2010): The Golden Crossroads- Multidisciplinary findings for business success from the worlds of fine arts, design and culture, Journal of Cultural Economics, 34(2): 151-153

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2010, Connecting Global Ambitions: de Nederlandse manier van samenwerken, in J.P. van den Toren (ed.) 2010, Connecting Global Ambitions: de Nederlandse manier van samenwerken. Den Haag: Innovatieplatform

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, Sharing Knowledge in Organizations

Dolfsma, Wilfred (ed.), 2007, Review of Social Economy, 65

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, Romantisch Beeld van de Academie is Passé, NRC Handelsblad, pp. 16-16

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, The Knowledge-Based Economy - Modeled, Measured, Simulated, Bespreking van het boek The Knowledge-Based Economy - Modeled, Measured, Simulated], ESB, 92(4505), 158-158

Dolfsma, Wilfred, 2007, Hoe Globalisering Werkt, Bespreking van het boek Why Globalization Works. The Case for the Global Market Economy, De academische boekengids, 14-17

Website(s)

<http://www.rug.nl/staff/w.a.dolfsma/>

http://www.merit.unu.edu/about-us/profile/?staff_id=687&stage=2

<http://www.rug.nl/staff/w.a.dolfsma/cv>