

Re: Expert Testimony on (i) Community Establishment; (ii) Nexus; and (iii) Support for DotMusic’s Community-Based Application¹ for .MUSIC (Application ID 1-1115-14110)

Dear ICANN and Economist Intelligence Unit (“EIU”):

Please accept this letter that indicates that there is substantive and compelling evidence that the DotMusic application convincingly meets the full criteria under Community Priority Evaluation on the following points: (i) the Music Community’s *Establishment* as defined by DotMusic; (2) the matching *Nexus* between the “music” Community and the “music” string (or top-level domain); and (3) that DotMusic possesses documented *Support* from organizations representing a majority of the global Music Community addressed and defined.

Please see my credentials attached hereto that identify my level of expertise and specialized knowledge with respect to the music community’s organization and delineation.

SUMMARY

DotMusic has established the following:

- 1) Its Community definition recognizes the cohesive, symbiotic and overlapping nature of the global Music Community. The definition includes those associated with commercial and non-commercial creation, performance, marketing and distribution of music;
- 2) “Music Community” members have the requisite awareness and recognition of the interdependency, overlapping and cohesive nature of each “organized community of similar nature that relates to music.” These organized and aligned communities are closely united and make “music” as we know it today. It is this self-awareness and interdependence that gives the “Music Community” its strength. With exponential growth of the Internet, mobile and the Domain Name System (DNS), the “Music Community’s” use and reliance on the Internet to create, market and disseminate music-related content, products, services and activities will continue to grow;
- 3) The “Music Community” functions in a regulated sector with global copyright protections – it is clear that the “community,” as defined, implies “more of cohesion than a mere commonality of interest” with an “awareness and recognition of a community among its members.” Several international treaties mandate a globally-recognized set of standards for

¹ <https://gtldresult.icann.org/application-result/applicationstatus/applicationdetails/1392>

the protection of the “Music Community” member rights with relation to their copyrighted music works around the world;

4) The “Music” Community -- as defined by DotMusic -- has at least one entity dedicated to the community supporting DotMusic’s application. Such documented *Support* includes several “international federation of national communities of a similar nature,” music coalitions and others that are strongly associated with “music,” which represent a majority of the Community with considerable millions of members worldwide.²

5) The *Nexus* of the “music” Community matches the “music” applied-for string because it represents the entire global Music Community – a community that pre-existed 2007 with a size in the considerable millions of constituents. The “Music Community” definition -- which incorporates the strict fundamental attributes of a closely united Community definition that is “organized” and “delineated” -- ensures that all of its constituent members have a requisite awareness of the community as defined, including both commercial and non-commercial stakeholders, to register a .MUSIC domain without any conflicts of interests, over-reaching or discrimination.

6) DotMusic has received support from the largest coalition of Music Community member organizations ever assembled to support a cause. Such unparalleled global Music Community support represents an overwhelming majority of the global Music Community as defined. Cumulatively, DotMusic possesses documented support³ from institutions/organizations representing a majority of the Community as defined and recognized in the DotMusic application.

There is substantive evidence that DotMusic fulfills the *Nexus, Community Establishment and Support* criteria for the “Music” string. The inclusion and representation of every music constituent type is paramount to the articulated purpose of the string. DotMusic and its application’s global Music Community supporters substantiate that every type of music constituent contributes to the function and operation of the music sector within a regulated framework. The symbiotic nature of the Community as defined and structured means that “Music” would not function as it does today without the participation of all music constituent types that interconnect to match the “music” string with the “music” Community definition.

² <http://music.us/supporters>

³ <http://music.us/supporters>

ASSESSMENT OF COMMUNITY DEFINITION, ESTABLISHMENT AND NEXUS

A) Music Community Definition, Establishment & Community Endorsement⁴

DotMusic’s definition of the “Music Community” as a “strictly delineated and organized community of individuals, organizations and business, a logical alliance of communities of similar nature that relate to music” (See Application, 20a) is factually accurate and representative of the “Music Community.” Community characteristics include:

i) An Organized, Cohesive, Interdependent Logically-Allied Community:

The “Music Community” definition covers the regulated, interdependent and cohesive nature of the music sector that exists today. “Music Community” members have the requisite awareness and recognition of the interdependent, overlapping and cohesive nature of each “organized community of similar nature that relates to music” that comprises the “Music Community.” Without such cohesiveness and interdependency, the defined “Music” Community matching the applied-for string (“Music”) would not be able to function in its regulated sector, a “Music” regulated sector that was publicly recognized by both ICANN and the Government Advisory Committee.⁵

As a result, the Music Community as defined is “closely united” (As per the definition of “cohesion” according to Merriam-Webster dictionary⁶) or “united or form a whole” (As per the definition of the word “cohesion” according to Oxford Dictionaries⁷).

The “Music Community” as defined (a “strictly delineated and organized community of individuals, organizations and business, a logical alliance of communities of similar nature that relate to music”) establishes that:

- (1) There is an awareness and recognition among its members;
- (2) The organized and delineated logical alliance of communities exists; and
- (3) The Community is “closely united” and “interdependent” (i.e. Each “organized community of similar nature that relates to music” which is part of the “logical alliance of communities that relate to music” is not mutually exclusive).

In short, the applied-for string (“Music”) matches the name of the “Music” Community as defined by DotMusic’s application. DotMusic’s “Music Community” definition accurately represents the common definition of the “Music Community,” which is confirmed by Wikipedia.

⁴ See <http://music.us/establishment>

⁵ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/bm/briefing-materials-2-05feb14-en.pdf>, Pg.3

⁶ <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/cohesion>

⁷ http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/us/definition/american_english/cohesion

According to Wikipedia:⁸

Music community is defined as a logical alliance of interdependent communities that are related to music, which include commercial participants...and non-commercial participants...and consists of an “ensemble of practices and institutions that make possible and regulate the production, distribution and consumption of music”...UNESCO identifies the music community as a “community of identity” implying common identifiable characteristics and cohesive attributes such as sharing a music culture, norms and subscribing to common ideals related to music...The music community is not defined as much by demographic indicators such as race, gender, and income level, as it is by common values, cohesive norms and interconnected structures to build a community identity. It refers to music-related individuals and organisations in a shared environment with shared understandings and practices, modes of production and distribution. The shared organisation of collective musical activities, identity and community value is created as result of infrastructure and a shared set of common values...Many studies outline the historical, cultural, and spatial significance of the music community, including how its identity is formed through musical practices. The music community shares a cohesive and interconnected structure of artistic expression, with diverse subcultures and socio-economic interactions...subscribing to common ideals. Under such structured context music consumption becomes possible regardless whether the transaction is commercial and non-commercial.⁹

ii) *An Aware, Pre-Existing and Recognized Community of Considerable Millions Worldwide:*

DotMusic’s definition of the Community covers all Community members associated with the string, each with a requisite awareness of the Community that can be validated through their natural association with a particular music-related community that they clearly identify with. According to DotMusic, all Music Community members must identify their music-related community in order to demonstrate their requisite awareness of the defined Community as part of the .MUSIC registration and validation process.

⁸ Wikipedia is ranked 6th among the ten most popular websites (Alexa, Retrieved March 23, 2015 from <http://www.alexacom/siteinfo/wikipedia.org>) and constitutes the Internet's largest, most frequently updated and popular general reference work (See OECD, OECD Internet Economy Outlook 2012, OECD Publishing, http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/oecd-internet-economy-outlook-2012_9789264086463-en,Pg.172) that compares favorably to the accuracy of other encyclopedias (such as the Britannica) according to a 2012 study conducted in partnership with Oxford University (See <http://blog.wikimedia.org/2012/08/02/seven-years-after-nature-pilot-study-compares-wikipedia-favorably-to-other-encyclopedias-in-three-languages>).

⁹ Music Community. In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved March 23, 2015, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Music_community

According to DotMusic, the Music Community’s geographic breadth is inclusive of all recognized territories covering regions associated with ISO-3166 codes and 193 United Nations countries with a Community of considerable size with millions of constituents (Application Answer to Question 20a).

According to DotMusic, “registrants will be verified using Community-organized, unified “criteria taken from holistic perspective with due regard of Community particularities” that “invoke a formal membership (Application Answer to Question 20a).” The defined Community represents all music-related entities with a clear and straightforward membership with the Community involved in the legal production, performance, promotion, and distribution of music worldwide. According to DotMusic, the Music Community members must have an active, non-tangential relationship with the applied-for string “music” and also have the requisite awareness of the music-related community that they are a part of by specifically identifying it as part of the registration and validation process (i.e. upon successful registration and validation, each community member will be given a unique community identification number that will automatically associate them with their identified community and the “music” string).

DotMusic’s Community definition matches the applied-for string because it allows both commercial and non-commercial stakeholders to register a .MUSIC domain without any conflicts of interests, over-reaching or discrimination/exclusion. Given the regulated sector of the community, it is clear that the “Music Community” as defined implies “more of cohesion than a mere commonality of interest” with an “awareness and recognition of a community among its members.” Several international treaties mandate cohesive and globally-recognized set of standards for the protection of the music community members’ rights with relation to their copyrighted music works around the world.¹⁰

The Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works¹¹ provides that each of the 168 contracting parties¹² (representing an overwhelming majority of the world’s population) provides automatic protection for music works first published in other countries of the Berne union and for unpublished music works whose authors are citizens of or resident in such other countries.¹³ This means that if a Music Community member’s copyright rights are violated in any other signatory country’s jurisdiction, then the music community member will have the music copyright rights given by that country. Music Community members are clearly aware of the collective Community’s rights, which could not be made possible without these cohesive and globally-recognized set of standards. If such standards were not coherent or enforced then music would not be able to exist in its current form and the industry component of the Music Community sector would not exist. As such, the Community’s *Establishment* and definition is “cohesive” and hence cannot be construed since the Community is a logical alliance of music

¹⁰ http://www.rightsdirect.com/content/rd/en/toolbar/copyright_education/International_Copyright_Basics.html

¹¹ http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/text.jsp?file_id=283698

¹² http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ShowResults.jsp?lang=en&treaty_id=15

¹³ <http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/62482/Berne-Convention>

communities that establish a clearly delineated and organized Community structure that is “closely united” and functions as a “whole”

Further evidence to substantiate the cohesive, symbiotic and overlapping nature of the Community, includes other globally-recognized standards and classification systems, which identify who the individual songwriters, publishers and rights holders are and which songs they are associated with so that Community members are appropriately compensated, regardless of whether the constituent is a commercial, non-commercial or amateur entity. The “music” string is commonly used in classification systems such as ISMN,¹⁴ ISRC,¹⁵ ISWC,¹⁶ ISNI.¹⁷ (Application Answer to Question 20a). For example, if a music entity would like to distribute their music, either commercially or for free, then an ISRC can be assigned to globally identify any specific music work. An ISRC, which facilitates efficient music discovery and community member payment, is constructed from 12 characters representing country, registrant, year of registration and designation (i.e. the serial number assigned by the registrant). With respect to domains, an equivalent system that relates to identifying a specific domain’s registrant and other relevant information pertaining to the domain is WHOIS. Domain registrants are required by ICANN “to provide accurate WHOIS contact data” or else their domain “registration may be suspended or even cancelled”.¹⁸

Without such Music Community “cohesion” and standardized systems functioning in its regulated sector, the Music Community would not be able to create, market and distribute their music. By the same token, fans would not be able to identify the music they are listening to with a specific music artist, regardless of whether the listening activity or behavior is commercial or non-commercial in nature. The socio-economic structure that characterizes “music” as commonly-known today would be non-existent without these organized and delineated elements that commonly define the Community.

¹⁴ The International Standard Music Number (ISMN) is a unique number for the identification of all notated music publications from all over the world. The ISMN is an ISO certified global standard number (ISO 10957:2009). See <http://www.ismn-international.org/whatis.html> and

http://www.iso.org/iso/home/store/catalogue_ics/catalogue_detail_ics.htm?csnumber=43173

¹⁵ The ISRC (International Standard Recording Code) is the international identification system for sound recordings and music video recordings. The ISRC is an ISO certified global standard number (ISO 3901:2001) and is managed by the IFPI. See <http://isrc.ifpi.org>, <https://www.usisrc.org/about/index.html> and http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=23401

¹⁶ The ISWC (International Standard Musical Work Code) is a unique, permanent and internationally recognized reference number for the identification of musical works. The ISWC has been approved by ISO (International Organization for Standardisation) as a global standard (ISO 15707:2001) and is managed by CISAC. See <http://www.iswc.org/en/faq.html> and http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=28780

¹⁷ The International Standard Name Identifier (ISNI) is the ISO certified global standard number (ISO 27729) for identifying the millions of contributors to creative works and those active in their distribution. ISNI holds public records of over 8 million identities and 490,000 organizations. See <http://www.isni.org/> and http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=44292

¹⁸ <https://whois.icann.org/en/about-whois> and <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/faqs-f0-2012-02-25-en>

iii) International Federations and Organizations Dedicated to Community Functions:

According to ICANN’s Applicant Guidebook (“AGB”)¹⁹: *“With respect to “Delineation” and “Extension,” it should be noted that a community can consist of...a logical alliance of communities (for example, an international federation of national communities of a similar nature... viable as such, provided the requisite awareness and recognition of the community is at hand among the members.”* (AGB, 4-12). The community as defined in the DotMusic application has at least one entity mainly dedicated to the community which has supported DotMusic, which include several “international federation of national communities of a similar nature” relating to music, music coalitions and other relevant and non-negligible music organizations.

One of these entities include the only international federation of national communities relating to government culture agencies and arts councils, which has an integral association with music globally: the International Federation of Arts Councils and Culture Agencies (IFACCA).

IFACCA is the only international federation that represents government culture agencies and arts councils globally. These national communities are governmental institutions that play a pivotal role with respect to music.²⁰ IFACCA’s members cover the majority of music entities globally, regardless of whether they are commercial, non-commercial or amateurs. Government ministry of culture and council agencies related to music cover a majority of the overall community with respect to headcount and geographic reach. The “Size” covered reaches over a hundred million music entities i.e. “considerable size with millions of constituents” per Application Answer to Question 20a.

The string “music” falls under the jurisdiction of each country’s Ministry of Culture governmental agency or arts/music council (emphasis added). The degree of power and influence of government ministry of culture and council agencies with respect to music surpasses any organization type since these agencies (i) provide the majority of funding for music-related activities; (ii) regulate copyright law; and (iii) encompass all the music entities that fall under their country, regardless whether these entities are commercial, non-commercial or amateurs. IFACCA is globally recognized by its strategic partners, such as UNESCO, a United Nations agency representing 195 member states and the European Commission.²¹ The UNESCO strategic partnership²² is relevant, especially since UNESCO founded the International Music Council (the “IMC”) in 1949, which represents over 200 million music constituents from over 150 countries and over 1000 organizations globally.²³

¹⁹ <https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agg/guidebook-full-11jan12-en.pdf>

²⁰ http://www.ifacca.org/membership/current_members/

²¹ http://www.ifacca.org/strategic_partners/

²² http://www.ifacca.org/strategic_partners/

²³ <http://www.imc-cim.org/about-imc-separator/who-we-are.html>

Government activities in the clearly delineated and organized “Music Community” include setting statutory royalty rates. For example, in the United States, mechanical royalties are based on a “statutory rate” set by the U.S. Congress. This rate is increased to follow changes in the economy, usually based on the Consumer Price Index. Currently, the mechanical statutory rate is \$0.091 for songs five minutes or less in length or \$.0175 per minute for songs that are over five minutes long.²⁴

Ministries of culture and arts councils (that comprise IFACCA’s membership) support musicians, musical performances, independent music artists, non-commercial musical expression and education in their respective countries. The 165 ministries of culture, arts councils and affiliates that comprise IFACCA’s membership support the “performing arts” and music specifically. Without the financial and logistical support of arts councils and the ministries of culture, the music community would be adversely affected, and in some countries, may not exist in any appreciable manner. For example, the Ministry of Culture 2011 budget for the small country state of Cyprus for culture funding was €34,876,522 with critical support of music activities.²⁵ Other small government Ministries of Culture, such as Albania,²⁶ or government Ministries of Culture and Arts Councils from countries with larger populations, such as India,²⁷ all provide critical support and substantial advocacy for music. Other examples include government institutions collaborating and advocating music through their funded country-based pavilion initiatives at Midem, the world’s largest music conference.²⁸

Government ministries and arts councils provide critical support for the Music Community, including commercial music organizations. By way of example, government ministries’ and arts councils’ substantial connection to and support of “music” is noted in the reports of funding and support for music. Some examples to showcase the degree of power of the IFACCA’s membership towards the string and global and national music are music investment and music funding (Annual reports by governments and councils):

- New Zealand Ministry of Culture has funded significant music projects. Some include the REAL New Zealand Music Tour (\$415,000), the New Zealand String Quartet (\$150,000) and New Zealand Music Commission: (\$1,378,000).²⁹
- The Australian Government/Council For The Arts invested \$51.2 million for the nation’s orchestras; \$21.6 million for opera; \$10.8 million for other music artists and

²⁴ U.S Copyright Office, <http://www.copyright.gov/carp/m200a.html>

²⁵ 2011 Annual Report for Cyprus Ministry of Culture, Section 1.2 “Music”

(http://www.moec.gov.cy/en/annual_reports/annual_report_2011_en.pdf). Activities include Music Performances in Cyprus (1.2.1) and Abroad (1.2.2), Subsidization of Paphos Aphrodite Festival (1.2.3), Music Publications (1.2.4), Subsidization and Purchases of Digital Records (1.2.5), Promotion for Cypriot musical creativity abroad (1.2.6), Cyprus Symphony Orchestra Foundation (1.2.7), Music Information Centre (1.2.8), Developing Music Education (1.2.9), Organising of the 1st Musicological Symposium (1.2.10) and Musical Festivities for the European Volunteerism Year (1.2.11)

²⁶ http://www.culturalpolicies.net/down/albania_012011.pdf

²⁷ 2010-11 Annual Report from India Ministry of Culture, [http://www.indiaculture.nic.in/hindi/pdf/Culture-AnRe-2010-2011\(Eng\).pdf](http://www.indiaculture.nic.in/hindi/pdf/Culture-AnRe-2010-2011(Eng).pdf)

²⁸ <http://my.midem.com/en/contact-us/pavilion-representatives/>

²⁹ 2011 Annual Report from New Zealand Ministry of Culture:

[http://www.mch.govt.nz/files/Annual%20report%202011%202012%20pdf%20version%20\(D-0448383\).PDF](http://www.mch.govt.nz/files/Annual%20report%202011%202012%20pdf%20version%20(D-0448383).PDF)

organizations; \$13.1 million for multi-platform artists and organizations; and \$4 million in miscellaneous funding, including sector building and audience development initiatives and programs.³⁰

- Canada Council for the Arts is Canada's national, arts funding agency investing \$28 million in its Canada Council Musical Instrument Bank (Page 16) and \$28,156,000 in Music Arts Programs (Page 66).³¹ The Government of Canada also renewed its annual investment of \$27.6 million over five years in the Canada Music Fund.³²
- The United Kingdom Department for Culture and Education (DfE) will fund music education at significant levels: £77 million, £65 million and £60 million will be available in the three years from April 2012.³³
- The United States National Endowment of the Arts has awarded more than \$4 billion to support the arts since its inception³⁴ and has a strong focus on music as outlined in its Strategic Plan³⁵ with Congress requested to provide \$154,465,000 for fiscal year 2014.³⁶
- The National Arts Council of South Africa invested 2,536,131 ZAR in Music and 9,995,000 ZAR in Orchestras and has focused strongly on the "Strengthening of live indigenous music and advocating the revival of the live music circuit in South Africa"³⁷
- The Singapore Arts Council will fund \$10.2 million in the arts under its 2013 Grants Framework, including the Ding Yi Music Company and Siong Leng Musical Association.³⁸
- In 2011, the support for artistic activities by the Arts Council of Finland was €32.4 million of which €4,921,850 was awarded to music.³⁹

Each of IFACCA's members has a clear association with, and mandate to support the music arts in their countries. In most countries, their ministry of culture/arts council is the largest funder and marketing supporter of the music arts.

³⁰ 2011 Annual Report for the Australia Council for the Arts, http://www.australiacouncil.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0016/142351/Australia-Council-Annual-Report-201112.pdf, Page 28

³¹ 2011 Annual Report for Canada Council for the Arts, http://www.canadacouncil.ca/NR/rdoonlyres/6F7549BB-F4E5-4B8B-95F4-1FF9FAFB9186/0/CanadaCouncilAnnualReport2012_COMPLETE.pdf

³² <http://www.pch.gc.ca/eng/1294862453819/1294862453821>

³³ Department for Culture, The Importance of Music, A National Plan for Music Education, https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/180973/DFE-00086-2011.pdf, Page 4, 2011

³⁴ 2011 Annual report for the National Endowment of the Arts, <http://www.nea.gov/about/11Annual/2011-NEA-Annual-Report.pdf>, Page 2

³⁵ NEA Strategic Plan 2012-2016, www.arts.gov/about/Budget/NEAStrategicPlan2012-2016.pdf

³⁶ http://www.ifacca.org/national_agency_news/2013/04/10/us-president-requests-154465000-neh-2014/

³⁷ 2010-2011 Annual Report for the National Arts Council South Africa, National Arts Council South Africa, <http://www.nac.org.za/media/publications/AR%2010-11%20NAC.PDF/download>, Page 11. Also Mmino, the South African – Norwegian Education Music Programme, solely funds music projects funding a total of 294 projects. Thirteen projects were allocated funding for a total of R1,680,600 of which R1,381,000 went towards music educational and R299,600 to exchange projects (Page 10)

³⁸ Singapore Arts Council, <http://www.nac.gov.sg/media-centre/news-releases/news-detail?id=c2db15e2-c319-40ec-939c-d58735d0a91c>

³⁹ <http://www.taiteenkeskustoimikunta.fi/documents/10162/31704/TY+tilastotiedote+1+12+.pdf>, Page 1 and Page

Another clear example of an “entity dedicated to the community” with members that cover hundreds of millions of music constituents with formal boundaries is A2IM, the American Association of Independent Music. A2IM has two types of members: U.S independent Label members and Associate members. A2IM membership for Labels and Associates is invoked formally through an application and if accepted would require annual membership dues.⁴⁰

The reach of A2IM Associate⁴¹ membership covers hundreds of millions of entities (i.e. the reach of A2IM’s total membership “geographic breadth is inclusive of all recognized territories covering regions associated with ISO-3166 codes and 193 United Nations countries with a Community of considerable size with millions of constituents – See Application Answer to Question 20a).

Organized and strictly delineated communities related to music that are A2IM members include:

- **Apple iTunes**⁴² – iTunes accounts for 63% of global digital music market⁴³ - a majority – with a registered community of 800 million registered members⁴⁴ available in 119 countries who abide to strict terms of service and boundaries⁴⁵ and have downloaded over 25 billion songs⁴⁶ from iTunes’ catalog of over 43 million songs⁴⁷ covering a global music community, regardless of genre or whether the community entities are amateur, professional, commercial or non-commercial. To add music to iTunes, all music artists must have a formal membership with iTunes via an Apple ID registration, which includes a current credit card on file.⁴⁸
- **Pandora**⁴⁹ – Pandora is the world’s largest streaming music radio with a community of over 250 million registered members.⁵⁰
- **Spotify**⁵¹ – Spotify is the world’s largest music streaming community with over 50 million active registered members in 58 countries and over 30 million songs. The music community uploads 20,000 songs every day.⁵²
- **Vevo**⁵³ – Vevo is the world’s leading all-premium music video community and platform with over 8 billion monthly views globally.⁵⁴

⁴⁰ <http://a2im.org/about-joining/>

⁴¹ <http://a2im.org/groups/tag/associate+members/>

⁴² <http://a2im.org/groups/itunes>

⁴³ <http://appleinsider.com/articles/13/04/16/apples-itunes-rules-digital-music-market-with-63-share>

⁴⁴ <http://www.npr.org/blogs/therecord/2015/01/06/375173595/with-downloads-in-decline-can-itunes-adapt>

⁴⁵ <http://www.apple.com/legal/internet-services/itunes/ww/index.html>

⁴⁶ <http://www.apple.com/pr/library/2013/02/06iTunes-Store-Sets-New-Record-with-25-Billion-Songs-Sold.html>

⁴⁷ <https://www.apple.com/itunes/features/>

⁴⁸ <https://www.apple.com/itunes/working-itunes/sell-content/music-faq.html>

⁴⁹ <http://a2im.org/groups/pandora>

⁵⁰ <http://www.cnet.com/news/like-a-rolling-milestone-pandora-hits-250m-registered-users/> and <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/External.File?item=UGFyZW50SUQ9MTkxNTM1fENoaWxkSUQ9LTF8VHlwZT0z&t=1>, Pg.9

⁵¹ <http://a2im.org/groups/spotify>

⁵² <https://press.spotify.com/us/information/>

⁵³ <http://a2im.org/groups/vevo/>

- **Youtube**⁵⁵ – Youtube is the world’s largest music video streaming community with millions of music creators -- amateur, professional, commercial or non-commercial -- and over 1 billion registered members covering all regions globally. 6 billion hours of video is watched every month on Youtube,⁵⁶ of which 38.4% is music-related.⁵⁷
- **Reverbnation**⁵⁸ – Reverbnation⁵⁹ is one of the world’s largest music community and a leading music distributor with over 3.87 million musicians, venues labels and industry professionals covering every country globally. The Reverbnation community grows by over 50,000 artists, bands, labels and industry professionals monthly.
- **BMG**⁶⁰ – BMG is focused on the management of music publishing and recording rights. BMG has an international presence and represents over 2.5 million music rights globally.⁶¹

A2IM also includes members that are associated with global government agencies which exclusively represent substantial music economies and music members, such as France (BureauExport⁶²), China (China Audio Video Association⁶³) and Germany (Initiative Musik).⁶⁴ A2IM also has Affiliate⁶⁵ associations within the global music community. These include Affiliates such as MusicFirst,⁶⁶ the Copyright Alliance,⁶⁷ the Worldwide Independent Network (WIN)⁶⁸ and Merlin.⁶⁹

A2IM also represents a recognized Music Coalition representing the interests of the Global Independent Music Community.⁷⁰ The A2IM Coalition includes Merlin, a global rights agency for the independent label sector, representing over 20,000 labels from 39 countries, Worldwide Independent Network (representing label creators in over 20 countries), Association of Independent Music (representing largest and most respected labels in the world), and IMPALA (Independent Music Companies Association on behalf of over 4,000 independent music companies and national associations across Europe, representing 99% of music actors in Europe which are micro, small and medium sized enterprises.

⁵⁴ <http://www.vevo.com/c/EN/US/about>

⁵⁵ <http://a2im.org/groups/youtube/>

⁵⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/yt/press/statistics.html>

⁵⁷ http://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/2092499/internet_video_2011_2014_view_share_site_and

⁵⁸ <http://a2im.org/groups/reverb-nation/>

⁵⁹ <http://www.reverbnation.com/about>

⁶⁰ <http://a2im.org/groups/bmg-rights/>

⁶¹ <http://www.bmg.com/category/about-us/history/>

⁶² <http://a2im.org/groups/french-music-export-office>

⁶³ <http://a2im.org/groups/china-audio-video-association-cava>

⁶⁴ <http://a2im.org/groups/initiative-musik-gmbh>

⁶⁵ <http://a2im.org/groups/tag/associate+members/>

⁶⁶ <http://musicfirstcoalition.org/coalition>, The musicFIRST Coalition, with founding members A2IM, RIAA, and Recording Academy represents musicians, artists, managers, music businesses, and performance right advocates.

⁶⁷ <http://www.copyrightalliance.org/members>

⁶⁸ <http://www.winformusic.org>

⁶⁹ <http://www.merlinnetwork.org>

⁷⁰ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/bengloff-to-chehade-et-al-20aug14-en.pdf> and <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/bengloff-to-crocker-et-al-07mar15-en.pdf>

Cumulatively, A2IM's Label and Associate Membership, A2IM's Affiliates and the A2IM's Global Independent Music Community Coalition, covers a majority of the global music community. Its cumulative membership is in the hundreds of millions of entities with formal boundaries belonging to strictly organized and delineated communities related to music as per the Community Definition and Size (See Application answer to Question 20a).

Another global Music Community Coalition led by the RIAA "on behalf of over 15 national and international trade associations" also expressed its support for .MUSIC to be under a "community" application model, including encouraging statements in support of DotMusic's policies that stated that the coalition "was encouraged to see" that DotMusic "included several measures to deter and address copyright infringement within that TLD." The "coalition members represent the people that write, sing, record, manufacture, distribute and/or license over 80% of the world's music"⁷¹ – a majority of global music.⁷²

Another letter⁷³ sent to ICANN (on April 14th, 2015) by Danielle Aguirre from the NMPA and on behalf of a music publisher and songwriter community coalition representing a majority of the global music publishing community, also expressed "support [for] the .MUSIC community applications because respecting and protecting music rights serves the global music community and the public interest."

Collectively, the DotMusic application received support from the largest coalition of music community member organizations ever assembled to support a cause. Such unparalleled global Music Community support represents an overwhelming majority of the global Music Community as defined. Cumulatively, DotMusic possesses documented support⁷⁴ from institutions/organizations representing a majority of the Community addressed. Music -- as commonly-known by the general public and experienced today -- would not be possible without these supporting, non-negligible and relevant organizations that have endorsed DotMusic.

In conclusion, there is substantive and compelling evidence that DotMusic entirely fulfills the criteria for *Community Establishment* and *Community Endorsement* from the majority of the global Music Community as defined.

⁷¹ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/riaa-to-icann-05mar15-en.pdf>, Pg.1

⁷² <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/riaa-to-icann-05mar15-en.pdf>, Pg.3, Appendix A

⁷³ <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/aguirre-to-icann-board-eiu-14apr15-en.pdf>

⁷⁴ <http://music.us/supporters>

B) *Nexus*⁷⁵

According to the Applicant Guidebook (“AGB”), to receive the maximum score for Nexus, the applied-for string -- “music” -- must match the name of the community or be a well-known short-form or abbreviation of the community name.

The *Nexus* of the “Music Community” entirely matches the applied-for “music” string because it represents the entire global Music Community as commonly-known and perceived by the general public. This definition allows for all constituents with a requisite awareness of the Community defined to register a .MUSIC domain without any conflicts of interests, over-reaching or discrimination. The definition of the Community requires that members have an active, non-tangential relationship with the applied-for string and the requisite awareness of the music community they identify with as part of the registration process. It is clear that the general public will directly associate and equate the string with the Community as defined by DotMusic. There is no possibility of overreaching beyond the definition or allowing unrelated non-music entities to be included as part of the Community.

Community members may register a .MUSIC by either:

- 1) Identifying that they belong to a Music Community Member Organization (“MCMO”); or
- 2) Identifying the community they belong to, which is consistent with the definition of the Community: “the strictly delineated and organized logical alliance of communities of similar nature related to music.”

All Community members are aware of and recognize their inclusion in the defined Community by identifying which clearly defined community they belong to and have an active participation in. The *nexus* of the applied-for string ensures inclusion of the entire global community that the string represents while excluding unrelated-entities not associated with the string. This way there is a clear match and alignment between the “music” sting and the Community defined.

While the exact size of the global Music Community as defined is unknown (there is no empirical evidence providing an exact, finite number because amateur entities are also included in the Community’s definition), it is in the considerable millions as explicitly stated in the DotMusic Application. DotMusic’s definition of the Community and mutually-inclusive Registration Policies ensure that eligible members are only music-related and associated with the string. This is because the string identifies all constituents involved in music. Music-only participation optimizes the relevancy of .MUSIC domains to the string and entirely matches the *nexus* between the string and Community defined. According to DotMusic, the Community *definition, eligibility* criteria and *content and use* requirements ensure that peripheral industries

⁷⁵ See <http://music.us/nexus>

and entities not related to music are excluded so that the string and the defined Community matches and aligns in a consistent manner consistent with DotMusic's community-based purpose i.e. only entities with music-related activities are able to register .MUSIC domains.

Membership aligns with the *nexus* of the Community and the string, which is explicitly relevant to music. The string as defined in the application demonstrates uniqueness because it has no other significant meaning beyond identifying the community described in the application. According to DotMusic's application, any tangential or implicit association with the *nexus* of the Community and the string is not regarded as a delineated membership since it would be considered unclear, dispersed or unbound. Such unclear, dispersed or unbound tangential relationships with the defined "music" Community and applied-for "music" string would not constitute a qualifying Community membership and would be ineligible for registration.

The inclusion of every music constituent type is paramount to the purpose of the string. Every type of music constituent critically contributes to the function and operation of the music sector within a regulated framework given the symbiotic overlapping nature of the Community as defined and structured. Music would not function as it does today without the participation of all music constituent types which cumulatively match the string with the Community definition.

In conclusion, there is substantive and compelling evidence that DotMusic entirely fulfills the criteria for *Nexus*.

Respectfully Submitted,

Nathan Hesselink

Signature: nathanhesselink@hotmail.com, Apr 24 '15 ip: 137.82.250.164

Name: Nathan Hesselink

Title: Professor of Music

Organization: University of British Columbia

About Dr. Nathan Hesselink

*Professor, Ethnomusicology
University of British Columbia*

*PhD in Ethnomusicology (University of London)
MA (Mich.),
BMus (Northwestern),*

Biography

Nathan Hesselink's research broadly encompasses the topic of rhythmic play and social meaning, firstly in South Korean traditional percussion genres and more recently in British rock music. He received his Ph.D. in ethnomusicology from the University of London, SOAS, and was a postdoctoral research fellow in Korean studies at the University of California, Berkeley. In addition to visiting posts at the University of Chicago and the Academy of Korean Studies, in 2012 he was Trinity Term Visiting Research Associate, St John's College, University of Oxford.

Select publications include *P'ungmul: South Korean Drumming and Dance* (University of Chicago, 2006, winner of the 2008 Lee Hye-Gu Award by the Korean Musicological Society), *SamulNori: Contemporary Korean Drumming and the Rebirth of Itinerant Performance Culture* (University of Chicago, 2012), and "Radiohead's 'Pyramid Song': Ambiguity, Rhythm, and Participation," *Music Theory Online* (19.1.3, 2013). He is currently Professor of Ethnomusicology at the University of British Columbia and a Research Associate of the Centre for Korean Research.

Education

Interlochen Arts Academy, high school diploma cello performance, 1984

Northwestern University, BM cello performance, 1988

University of Michigan-Ann Arbor, MA ethnomusicology, 1992

University of London-School of Oriental and African Studies, PhD ethnomusicology, 1998

Posts

Instructor of Performance Studies (Korean Percussion), University of London-SOAS, 1996-97

Postdoctoral Research Fellow in Korean Studies, University of California-Berkeley, 1998-99

Assistant Professor of Ethnomusicology, Illinois State University, 1999-2005

Visiting Assistant Professor of Ethnomusicology, University of Chicago, 2003

Professor of Ethnomusicology, University of British Columbia, 2005-present

[2005-2007: Assistant Professor, 2007-2013: Associate Professor]

Advanced Research Fellow in Korean Studies, Academy of Korean Studies (South Korea), 2006

Trinity Term Visiting Research Associate, St John's College, University of Oxford, 2012

[2011-12: Visiting Scholar, Faculty of Music, University of Oxford]

Publications

Books

SamulNori: Contemporary Korean Drumming and the Rebirth of Itinerant Performance Culture. Chicago Series in Ethnomusicology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2012. (201 pp.)

- reviewed in *Ethnomusicology* (57.2, 2013), *Ethnomusicology Forum* (22.1, 2013), *The Journal of Asian Studies* (72.1, 2013), *Journal of Folklore Research* (2013), *New Books in East Asian Studies* (2013), *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* (76.1, 2013), *Anthropos* (108.1, 2013), *Notes* (June, 2014)

P'ungmul: South Korean Drumming and Dance. Chicago Series in Ethnomusicology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2006. (296 pp.) **Winner of the 2008 Lee Hye-gu Award, Korean Musicological Society**

- reviewed in *Korean Quarterly* (13.3, 2010), *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute* (15.1, 2009), *Western Folklore* (68.2/3, 2009), *World of Music* (50.2, 2008), *Journal of Asian Studies* (67.4, 2008), *Asian Ethnology* (67.1, 2008), *Ethnomusicology* (52.1, 2008), *American Anthropologist* (109.2, 2007), *Ethnomusicology Forum* (16.1, 2007), *Yearbook for Traditional Music* (39, 2007), *Han 'guk ūmaksa hakpo* (39, 2007)

Edited Volumes

Music and Politics on the Korean Peninsula. Special Volume of *The World of Music* (49.3). Berlin: Verlag für Wissenschaft und Bildung, 2008. (148 pp.)

Contemporary Directions: Korean Folk Music Engaging the Twentieth Century and Beyond. Korea Research Monograph 27. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001. (262 pp.)

- reviewed in *The Journal of Asian Studies* (63.1, 2004), *The Review of Korean Studies* (7.1, 2004), *Acta Koreana* (6.2, 2003), *Korean Studies* (26.2, 2003), *Pacific Affairs* (76.2, 2003), *Asian Music* (35.2, 2003), *British Association for Korean Studies Website* (2002)

Chapters in Books

“*Beopgo Changshin*: New Music for Samul nori.” In *Musicology in Korea*, ed. Kim Sejung *et al*, 495-507. Seoul: Minsogwŏn, 2014.

“Taking Culture Seriously: Democratic Music and its Transformative Potential in South Korea.” In *The World of Music: Readings in Ethnomusicology*, ed. Max Peter Baumann, 670-701. Intercultural Music Studies 17. Berlin: Verlag für Wissenschaft und Bildung, 2012.

“Rhythm and Folk Drumming (*P’ungmul*) as the Musical Embodiment of Communal Consciousness in South Korean Village Society.” In *Analytical and Cross-Cultural Studies in World Music*, ed. Michael Tenzer and John Roeder, 263-87. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.

“Coming to the City: SamulNori and 1970s’ Korean Music Culture.” In *Mandang Yi Hyegu paksu paeksu songch’uk nonmunjip* (Essays on Music Offered to Dr. Lee Hye-ku in Honor of His Hundredth Birthday), ed. Hwang Junyeon *et al*, 661-91. Seoul: Minsogwŏn, 2008.

“Pungmul and Samulnori.” In *Music of Korea*, ed. Byong Won Lee and Yongshik Lee, 93-104. Korean Musicology Series 1. Seoul: National Center for Korean Traditional Performing Arts, 2007.

“On the Road with ‘Och’ae Chilgut’: Stages in the Development of Korean Percussion Band Music and Dance.” In *Contemporary Directions: Korean Folk Music Engaging the Twentieth Century and Beyond*, ed. Nathan Hesselink, 54-75. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001.

Journal Articles

- “The Ethnomusicologist as Composer.” *Music and Culture* 31: 31-44 (2014)
- “Rhythmic Play, Compositional Intent, and Communication in Rock Music.” *Popular Music* 33.1: 69-90 (2014)
- “Radiohead’s ‘Pyramid Song’: Ambiguity, Rhythm, and Participation.” *Music Theory Online* 19.1.3 [13,500 words] (2013)
- “Korean Rock, *Sanjo*, and National Identity.” *Perspectives on Korean Music* 2:89-104 (2011)
- “‘Yöngdong Nongak’: Mountains, Music, and the SamulNori Canon.” *Acta Koreana* 12.1:1-26 (2009)
- “Taking Culture Seriously: Democratic Music and its Transformative Potential in South Korea.” *The World of Music* 49.3:75-106 (2008)
- “Music and Politics on the Korean Peninsula.” *The World of Music* 49.3:7-12 (2008)
- “SamulNori, *Wön-Pang-Kak*, and Cosmological Didacticism.” *Yearbook for Traditional Music* 39:140-61 (2007)
- “‘The Formation of *Namsadang* (Korean Itinerant Performer) Troupes’: Chapter One *A Study of Namsadang Troupes*,” by Shim Usöng. Translated, edited, and with an Introduction by Nathan Hesselink. *Acta Koreana* 9.2:31-57 (2006)
- “*Samul nori* as Traditional: Preservation and Innovation in a South Korean Contemporary Percussion Genre.” *Ethnomusicology* 48.3:405-39 (2004)
- Hesselink, Nathan and Jonathan C. Petty. “Landscape and Soundscape: Geomantic Spatial Mapping in Korean Traditional Music.” *Journal of Musicological Research* 23.3/4:265-88 (2004) (Hesselink = 50%)
- “*Kil kunak ch’ilch’ae* (Seven-Stroke Road Military Music) Rhythmic Pattern: *P’ungmul* and the Bridging of the North-South Divide.” *Tongyang ũmak [Asian Music]* 25:111-27 (2003)
- “Modernization, Urbanization, and the Re-emergence of the Professional Korean Folk Musician.” *Han’guk ũmaksa hakpo [Journal of the Society for Korean Historico-Musicology]* 29:519-49 (2002)

“‘*P’ungmul* is Played with Your Heel!’: Dance as a Determinant of Rhythmic Construct in Korean Percussion Band Music/Dance.” *Music and Culture* 4:99-110 (2001)

“Kim Inu’s ‘*P’ungmulgut* and Communal Spirit’: Edited and Translated with an Introduction and Commentary.” *Asian Music* 31.1:1-34 (2000)

“Two Sides of a Similar Coin: A Common Origin Hypothesis in Honam Region Percussion Band Music/Dance.” *Tongyang ūmak* [*Asian Music*] 21:175-200 (1999)

“Of Drums and Men in Chöllabuk-do Province: Glimpses into the Making of a Human Cultural Asset.” *Korea Journal* 38.3:292-326 (1998)

“*Changdan* Revisited: Korean Rhythmic Patterns in Theory and Contemporary Performance Practice.” *Han’guk ūmak yŏn’gu* [*Studies in Korean Music*] 24:143-55 (1996)

“Geisha, Kouta, and Karaoke.” *Kyoto Journal* 32:18-23 (1996)

“Kouta and Karaoke in Modern Japan: A Blurring of the Distinction between *Umgangsmusik* and *Darbietungsmusik*.” *British Journal of Ethnomusicology* 3:49-61 (1994)

Website(s)

<http://music.ubc.ca/person/nathan-hesselink/>
<https://sites.google.com/site/nathanhesselink/home>
<https://sites.google.com/site/nathanhesselink/home/resume>